

### Introduction

Direct Imaging is the search for exoplanets by observing their star with a coronagraph. Unfortunately, the target signal of the planet is a similar order of magnitude to that of the noise sources.

This research examines the effects and biases of algorithms designed to separate the planet signal from the noise sources. These algorithms induce both astrometric and photometric biases. Each method addressed here is compared to KLIP<sup>[2]</sup>, a popular variant of the current standard, Principal Component Analysis.

## Independent Component Analysis

#### **Overview**

Assume all data is a combination of multiple independent data sources:

x = As

Based on the Central Limit Theorem, to be independent the sources must not be Gaussian. Find an A that maximizes the nongaussianity of s. The reproduction of s is signless and scale-less<sup>[3]</sup>.

### **Applied Methodology**

Do a KLIP analysis of the data. Instead of derotating and stacking all images, do so in 3 bins:



2. Conduct ICA on those 3 images:



Optimally derotate and stack images: 3.





SSA seeks to separate signals that have a constant distribution (stationary), and those that do not. Assume *m* stationary sources<sup>[4]</sup>.

## **Applied Methodology**

Let each vectorized image be a spatial signal. Subtract each component with "structure" from the image. These are seen as nonstationary: Nonstationary Stationary



## Blind Source Separation Algorithms for PSF Subtraction from Direct Imaging Jacob Shapiro<sup>1</sup>, Nikhil Ranganathan<sup>1</sup>, Dmitry Savransky<sup>1</sup>, Jean-Baptiste Ruffio<sup>2</sup>, Bruce Macintosh<sup>2</sup>, and the GPIES Team 1. Cornell University, 2. Stanford University





450 mas separation

# **Stationary Subspace** Analysis

#### Overview

 $x(t) = As(t) = \left[A^{\mathfrak{s}} A^{n}\right] \begin{vmatrix} s^{\mathfrak{s}}(t) \\ s^{n}(t) \end{vmatrix}$ 











# **Common Spatial Pattern** Filtering

#### **Overview**

Find a coordinate transformation that maximizes the difference between two distributions<sup>[5]</sup>:

$$w = a$$

### **Applied Methodology**

The goal is to find the transformation that maximizes the difference between images with the planet signal clustered together compared to those where it is far away. The maximum projection should be the planet signal.

- $n/_4$



- Find w for each p.
- derotate, and stack.







rgmax	$  wX_1 $	2
W	$  wX_2 $	2

Divide the dataset in half chronologically, with  $X_1$  centered on a target image p. For images taken at *n* different times,

 $t_1$   $t_2$   $t_3$   $t_4$   $t_5$   $t_6$   $t_7$   $t_8$   $t_9$   $t_{10}$ 

For each analysis,  $\mathbf{G} = \begin{bmatrix} (w^T w) X_1 \\ -(w^T w) X_2 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Unpack each row of G into an image,

**Repeat for all possible** *p***, and stack.** 

Can also be extended into SDI by using nearby wavelengths in  $X_1$ .



Signal to Noise Ratio



 $\alpha = 2 \times FWHM$  of planet signal,  $\theta = 2 \times FWHM / R$ , R = pixel distance between planet signal and center of star PSF



- Each method performs worse as the data is more Poisson and read-noise dominant.
- No astrometric error correlations (across neither methods nor noise type). None of the Pearson Correlation Coefficients are greater than 0.22. Likely improved by using forward model matched filters instead of Gaussian fits.
- No methods outperform KLIP, but they do perform at a similar order of magnitude, and often with quicker computation times.

This work is supported by NASA Grant No. NNX16AI13G.

Based on observations obtained at the Gemini Observatory, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under a cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation (NSF) on behalf of the Gemini partnership: the NSF (United States), the National Research Council (Canada), CONICYT (Chile), the australian Research Council (Australia), Ministério da Ciência, Tecnologia e Inovação (Brazil), and Ministerio de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación Productiva (Argentina).

#### References

[1] Gemini Planet Imager, GPIES team. Nov, 2015 [2] Soummer, R., Pueyo, L., and Larkin, J., "Detection and characterization of exoplanets and disks using projections on Karhunen-Loeve eigenimages," The Astrophysical Journal Letters 755(2), L28 (2012). [3] Hyvärinen, A. and Oja, E., \Independent component analysis: algorithms and applications,"

Neural Networks 13(4-5), 411{30 (2000). [4] P. von Bünau, F. Meinecke, F. Király and K. Müller, "Finding Stationary Subspaces in Multivariate Time Series", *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 103, no. 21, 2009. [5] Y. Wang, S. Gao and X. Gao, "Common Spatial Pattern Method for Channel Selection in Motor Imagery Based Brain-Computer Interface", in *Engineering in Medicine and Biology 27th* Annual Conference, Shanghai, China, 2005.

# Acknowledgements

• SSA • ICA



