Dynamic Filtering for the Analysis of Astrometric and Radial Velocity Data Sets for the Detection of Exoplanets

AIAA Guidance, Navigation and Control - Session 85-GNC-50

Dmitry Savransky and N. Jeremy Kasdin

Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering Princeton University

August 12th, 2009



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Motivation

- The most successful methods for planet-finding (so far) are indirect detections via observations of stars.
- Ground-based indirect detection techniques are limited in the size of planets they can find.
- Finding Earth-like planets will require the development of both new observational tools and analysis techniques.
- We seek to analyze the effectiveness of well-developed filtering techniques for orbit estimation in finding extra-solar planets and constraining their orbits.

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Outline

Background

- Planet Finding
- Analyzing Astrometric Data

2 System Formulation

- System Model
- Filter Formulation

3 Results





3

Known Exoplanets and Discovery Methods

http://exoplanet.eu/catalog.php

- Radial Velocity and Astrometry
 - 332 planets in 282 systems
 - 61 planets confirmed via Transit photometry
- Imaging
 - 11 planets in 9 planetary systems
- Microlensing and Pulsar Timing
 - 15 planets in 11 planetary systems



Figure: Semi-major axis vs. Minimum planet mass for known exoplanets.

→



Astrometry and Radial Velocity

Astrometry

- Uses optical interferometry to find angular distance between two objects
- Use set of reference stars to find position of target star with respect to fixed centroid
- Produces target star's position in plane of the sky

Radial Velocity

- Uses spectroscopy to find wavelengths of target star's emitted light
- After accounting for other effects, remaining changes in wavelength are attributed to doppler effect
- Produces target star's velocity along the line of sight

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Both methods require us to infer the presence of planets from motion of the target star - 'Stellar Wobble'



Periodograms and Fitting



Figure: Periodogram output. [Black and Scargle, 1982]

Figure: Orbital fit to radial velocity data. [Butler et al., 2006]

Treat astrometry and radial velocity as data streams containing periodic signals. Find the periodicities and fit orbits. [Sozzetti, 2005]



An Alternate Approach

Treat astrometry and radial velocity as partial observations of an underlying dynamical system.

- System evolution is governed by known laws, but parameters are unknown
- Dynamic filtering can be used to reconstruct the parameters

Advantages:

- Can use exact dynamic model
- No a priori assumptions about planetary system
- May be possible to simultaneously fit whole system
- May be possible to detect long period orbits

Possible Issues:

- Sensitive to noise and initial conditions
- Sensitive to nonlinearities
- Model dependent

(日) (周) (日) (日)

Dynamic Filtering

• Astrometric (or RV) measurements (z) at time k are a function of a state vector (x) describing the positions of all orbiting planets, and time, with added noise **n** of covariance R:

$$\mathbf{z}_k = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_k, k) + \mathbf{n}$$

• The solution to this problem is a minimization with respect to **x** for *N* observations of the cost function:

$$J = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left[\mathbf{z}_k - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_k, k) \right]^T R^{-1} \left[\mathbf{z}_k - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_k, k) \right]$$

subject to the constraints of the physical system (i.e. Newtonian dynamics) and any inherent constraints in the formulation of the state (i.e., quaternion definition, eccentricity bounds, etc.).

• We can re-formulate this as a recursive filter, using each observation to update the estimate of the underlying state, and our knowledge of the physical system to propagate the state in time.



비로 서로에 서로에 사망에 수많이 .

Outline

Background

- Planet Finding
- Analyzing Astrometric Data

2 System Formulation

- System Model
- Filter Formulation

3 Results

4 Conclusions



イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

System Model



Figure: Schematic of an astrometric observation.

 $\mathbf{r}_s = \mathbf{r}_0 + \mathbf{r}_\mu - \mathbf{r}_{sc} + \mathbf{r}_{s/G}$

Fundamental astrometric observation is $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_s$ (can be decomposed into two angles)

Choice of State

Form of state vector determines form of dynamic updates, observation, and how easy it is to constrain states from describing non-physical orbits.

Some options:

- Position and velocity: $\mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{r} \quad \dot{\mathbf{r}}]^T$
- Orbital elements: $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a} & \mathbf{e} & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{\omega} & \mathbf{\Omega} & \mathbf{\nu} \end{bmatrix}^T$
- Quaternions: $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a} & \mathbf{e} & \epsilon_1 & \epsilon_2 & \epsilon_3 & \epsilon_4 & \nu \end{bmatrix}^T$, $\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2 + \epsilon_3^2 + \epsilon_4^2 = 1$

• Angular Momentum and Eccentricity vector: $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} L_1 & L_2 & L_3 & e_1 & e_2 & E \end{bmatrix}^T$, $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{r} \times \dot{\mathbf{r}}$, $\mathbf{e} = \frac{1}{\mu} (\dot{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L} - \mu \hat{\mathbf{r}})$

- Position and velocity state proved most effective.
- Fitting orbits and masses simultaneously proved too difficult split into orbital fitting with assumed masses and line search (or Monte Carlo) for masses.



Choice of State (cont.)

Let the state vector for a system of n planets be:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_1 & \dot{\mathbf{r}}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{r}_n & \dot{\mathbf{r}}_n & \mathbf{r}_{s/G} & \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{s/G} \end{bmatrix}^T$$

with the state estimate propagation given by

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_{j} = -\sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\mu_{k} \mathbf{r}_{k/j}}{|\mathbf{r}_{k/j}|^{3}} \qquad j = 1, \dots, n, s/G \qquad \mathbf{r}_{k/j} = \mathbf{r}_{k} - \mathbf{r}_{j}$$



・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Choice of State (cont.)

Let the state vector for a system of n planets be:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_1 & \dot{\mathbf{r}}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{r}_n & \dot{\mathbf{r}}_n & \mathbf{r}_{s/G} & \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{s/G} \end{bmatrix}^T$$

with the state estimate propagation given by

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_j = -\sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\mu_k \mathbf{r}_{k/j}}{|\mathbf{r}_{k/j}|^3} \qquad j = 1, \dots, n, s/G \qquad \mathbf{r}_{k/j} = \mathbf{r}_k - \mathbf{r}_j$$

Augment state with constant parameters to account for unknown proper motion and stellar distance

$$\bar{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_1 & \dot{\mathbf{r}}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{r}_n & \dot{\mathbf{r}}_n & \mathbf{r}_{s/G} & \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{s/G} & \mathbf{r}_\mu & \varpi \end{bmatrix}^T$$
$$\varpi = \frac{a}{\|\mathbf{r}_0\|}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Extended Kalman Filter

[Crassidis and Junkins, 2004]

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\hat{\mathbf{x}}}(t) &= \mathbf{f}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t), t) & \dot{\mathbf{P}}(t) = \mathbf{F}(t)\mathbf{P}(t) + \mathbf{P}(t)\mathbf{F}^{T}(t) + \mathbf{Q} \\ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{0} &= E[\mathbf{x}(0)] & \mathbf{P}_{0} = E[(\mathbf{x}(0) - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{0})(\mathbf{x}(0) - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{0})^{T}] \\ \mathbf{F}(t) &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\Big|_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)} & \mathbf{Q}(t) = E[\mathbf{w}(t)\mathbf{w}^{T}(\tau)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k_{i}}^{+} &= \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k}^{-} + \mathbf{K}_{k_{i}}\left(\mathbf{y}_{k} - \mathbf{h}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k_{i-1}}^{+}) - \mathbf{H}_{k_{i}}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k}^{-} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k_{i-1}}^{+})\right) & \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k_{0}}^{+} = \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k}^{-} \\ \mathbf{K}_{k_{i}} &= \mathbf{P}_{k}^{-}\mathbf{H}_{k_{i}}^{T}\left(\mathbf{H}_{k_{i}}\mathbf{P}_{k}^{-}\mathbf{H}_{k_{i}}^{T} + \mathbf{R}_{k}\right)^{-1} & \mathbf{H}_{k_{i}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\Big|_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k_{i}}^{+}} \\ \mathbf{P}_{k_{i}}^{+} &= (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}_{k_{i}}\mathbf{H}_{k_{i}})\mathbf{P}_{k}^{-} & \mathbf{R}(t) = E[\mathbf{v}(t)\mathbf{v}^{T}(\tau)] \end{aligned}$$

To simplify observation equation, we expand $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_s$ to second order in ϖ :

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{s} \approx \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{0} + \varpi \left(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{\mu} - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{sc} + \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{s/G} - (\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{0} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{\mu}) \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{0} + (\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{0} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{sc}) \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{0} - (\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{0} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{s/G}) \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{0} \right)$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ト ・

Some Modifications

- Position and Velocity state makes it easy to describe open orbits
 - ► Introduce inequality constraints of the form $D\bar{X} \leq d$ to constrain orbital specific energy
 - At each time step, solve quadratic programming problem of the form $\min_{\tilde{x}} (\tilde{x}^T W \tilde{x} 2 \bar{X}^T W \tilde{x})$ s.t. $D \tilde{x} \le d$ [Simon and Simon, 2006]
- Nonlinearities in state propagation make filter very sensitive to initial conditions
 - Attempt to constraint initial conditions via periodograms and other coarse analysis of data
 - Introduce random restarts when state or covariance diverges
- Covariance estimate extrapolation is potential source of problems for nonlinear state update
 - Evaluated particle filter-like approach
 - Generate set of random states distributed according to current covariance estimate with mean of current state
 - Propagate random states and find covariance

Outline

Background

- Planet Finding
- Analyzing Astrometric Data

2 System Formulation

- System Model
- Filter Formulation

3 Results

Conclusions



비로 시로에 시로에 시험에 시험에

Simulated Data

- $\bullet~1~M_{\odot}$ star at 10pc
- 15 years of data
 - 10 years of radial velocity data
 - 5 years of astrometric and radial velocity data
- Data spaced to simulate spacecraft operation constraints
- $\bullet\,$ Radial velocity noise of up to 1 m/s
- $\bullet\,$ Astrometry noise of up to 0.82 $\mu {\rm as}\,$



イロト イヨト イヨト イ

 Integrate equations of motion with Runge-Kutta-Nyström 8-6 variable time step scheme [Papakostas and Tsitouras, 2000] Single Jupiter Mass Planet with Noise



Savransky and Kasdin (Princeton University)

Paper AIAA-2009-6083

문태학 12 August 2009 17 / 23

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ト ・

Single Earth Mass Planet with Noise





A 10

Single Earth Mass Planet with Noise (cont.)





・ロト ・ 日下・ ・ 目下

Ambiguity in Planet Mass



Jupiter Mass Planet in multi-body system with Noise





- < @ ► < ≣ ►

Conclusions

- The EKF implementation can fit orbits for Earth-sized planets
- $\bullet\,$ The EKF implementation cannot (so far) constrain masses to better than $\pm\,$ 50%.
- The EKF implementation cannot (so far) fit Jupiter mass and Earth mass planets simultaneously.
- The large noise magnitude is the hardest part of this problem.
- Better constraints on initial conditions would significantly reduce processing time and improve filter efficiency.
- Other filter designs should be investigated.



(日) (周) (日) (日)

References

Black, D. C. and Scargle, J. D. (1982).

On the detection of other planetary systems by astrometric techniques. ApJ, 263:854–869.



Butler, R. P., Wright, J. T., Marcy, G. W., Fischer, D. A., Vogt, S. S., Tinney, C. G., Jones, H. R. A., Carter, B. D., Johnson, J. A., McCarthy, C.,

and Penny, A. J. (2006). Catalog of nearby exoplanets. *ApJ*, 646:505.



Crassidis, J. and Junkins, J. (2004).

Optimal Estimation of Dynamic Systems. Chapman & Hall/CRC.



Papakostas, S. and Tsitouras, C. (2000).

High phase-lag-order runge-kutta and nystrom pairs. SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 21(2):747–763.



Simon, D. and Simon, D. L. (2006).

Kalman filtering with inequality constraints for turbofan engine health estimation. In Control Theory and Applications, IEE Proceedings, volume 153, pages 371–378.



Sozzetti, A. (2005).

Astrometric methods and instrumentation to identify and characterize extrasolar planets: A review. PASP, 117:1021–1048.



<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)